## **DELEGATED DECISION NOTIFICATION**

This form is used both to give notice of an officer's intention to make a Key decision and to record any delegated decision which has been taken. The decision set out on this form therefore reflects the decision that it is intended will be made, or that has been made. Although set out in the past tense a decision for which notice is being given may be subject to amendment or withdrawal.

Director of City Devel	opment	
95 Cottingley Towers, Cottingley LEEDS LS11 0JJ		
The Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer has approved the		
terms for the leasehold of the flat at 95 Cottingley Towers.		
Council function (not subject to call-in)		
☐ Executive decision (Key)		
Is the decision eligible	e for call-in? <sup>iv</sup> Y	es 🗌 No
Is the decision exemp	ot from call-in? <sup>v</sup>	es 🗌 No
	n (Significant Operation	nal <sup>vi</sup> – not subject to call-in)
Date the decision was published in the List of Forthcoming Key Decisions:		
If not on the List of Forthcoming Key Decisions for at least 28 clear days, the		
reason why it would be impracticable to delay the decision:-		
If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the		
Council or the public:	-	
Beeston & Holbeck		
Executive Member	Date consulted:	Interest disclosed?viii
		☐ Yes (Date of dispensation: )
		⊠ No
Ward Councillors:	Date consulted:	Interest disclosed?
		☐ Yes (Date of dispensation: )
		⊠ No
Others <sup>ix</sup> (please	Date consulted:	Interest disclosed?
specify: )		☐ Yes (Date of dispensation: )
		⊠ No
	95 Cottingley Towers  The Chief Asset Manterms for the leaseho  Council function ( Executive decision of the decision exemptor is the decision exemptor is the decision exemptor is the decision exemptor is the decision was securive decision. Date the decision was liftened from the List of Formation of the public:  Beeston why it would be securive Member is the decision was securive from call-in Council or the public:  Beeston why it would be securive Member is the decision was securive from call-in Council or the public:  Beeston why it would be securive Member is the decision was securive from call-in Council or the public:  Beeston was securive from call-in Council or the public:  Beeston was securive from call-in Council or the public:  Beeston was securive from call-in Council or the public:	The Chief Asset Management and Regeneral terms for the leasehold of the flat at 95 Cotting  Council function (not subject to call-in)  Executive decision (Key)  Is the decision eligible for call-in? <sup>iv</sup> You  Executive decision (Significant Operation Date the decision was published in the List of If not on the List of Forthcoming Key Decision reason why it would be impracticable to delay If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in Council or the public:-  Beeston & Holbeck  Executive Member Date consulted:  Ward Councillors: Date consulted:

CAPITAL			
INJECTION	Injection approval required?   Yes   No		
APPROVAL	(If yes, you must complete the Approval box below)		
REQUIRED:			
CAPITAL		Capital Scheme Number:	
INJECTION	(Name: Stephen J Boyle )	16692/ EHP / 000	
APPROVAL	(Title: Directorates Capital Finance		
	Manager)	Date: 21/03/14	
CONTACT	Karen Hocker	Telephone number <sup>x</sup> : 77887	
PERSON:	Senior Technician		
DECISION MAKER		Date: 14/4/14	
/ AUTHORISED	,		
SIGNATORY <sup>xi</sup> :	CAROLLEM		
	,		
	(Name: Christine Addison )		

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> The Leader of the Council may also make executive decisions and should be specified as the Lead Director where appropriate.

A brief title should be inserted here. If the decision is Key and has appeared on the List of Forthcoming Key Decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the List.

Brief details of the decision should be inserted. This note must set out the substance of the decision, options considered and the reason for deciding on the chosen option, although care must be taken not to disclose any confidential or exempt information.

See the Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rules for eligibility. The decision will not be eligible for call-in if it has already been subject to call-in i.e. considered by the relevant Scrutiny Board. This includes a decision which has been modified by the decision maker following a recommendation by a Scrutiny Board after call-in of the earlier decision.

VIf the decision is exempt from call-in a reason must be provided in the 'Notice / Call-In' box and in the report. The call-in period expires at 5pm on the 5<sup>th</sup> working day after publication. Scrutiny Support will notify decision makers of matters called-in no later than 12 noon on the 6<sup>th</sup> working day. VI If the decision would have been a Key decision but for an exception set out in Article 13.2.1, please refer to the connected Key decision in the decision details (either by the title or the reference number). VII Key decisions should appear on the List of Forthcoming Key Decisions for 28 clear days before the decision can be taken. If 28 clear days' notice has not been provided, a reason must be provided here.

Will No Member having a disclosable pecuniary interest or officer having an interest in any matter (whether pecuniary or otherwise required to be declared) should take a decision in relation to that matter. Other interests of a non-disqualifying nature should be recorded here. Any dispensation in place in relation to the matter should also be recorded here.

ix This may include other elected Members, officers, stakeholders and the local community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup> Please insert a complete telephone number whether land line or mobile, rather than an extension number so that you can be contacted from outside the Council.

xi The signatory must be duly authorised by the Lead Director to make a decision in accordance with the relevant sub-delegation scheme. It is not acceptable for the signature to be 'pp' for the authorised signatory. For Key decisions only, the date of the authorised signature signifies that, at the time, the officer was content that the decision should be taken. However, should representations be received following public availability of reports the signatory will consider the effect which such representations should have on the final decision.